

1
2
3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 12-00119 SI

8 Plaintiff,

ORDER RE YOUTUBE VIDEOS
(Docket No. 994)

9 v.

10 VICTOR FLORES, et al.,


11 Defendants.
12 _____/

13 Now before the Court is a motion to exclude certain YouTube rap videos, provided on a CD to
14 the Court, from evidence at trial. As far as the Court is aware, the rap music in these videos was neither
15 written nor performed by any of the four defendants currently on trial. The government contends that
16 these videos “were made by other 500 Block/C Street Gang members and allude to the Gang’s
17 activities.” Dkt. No. 1003 at 4. Thus, the government’s relevance theory is that the videos help to
18 establish the existence of the charged conspiracy and enterprise.

19 The Court finds that these rap videos are not admissible against any of the four defendants. Even
20 if the music was written and performed by other members of the conspiracy, these out-of-court
21 statements were not made in furtherance of the conspiracy and they are therefore not admissible under
22 Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E). Accordingly, the Court finds that the rap videos are hearsay
23 for which no exception applies and therefore GRANTS the motion to exclude.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: June 27, 2014

26 
27 _____
28 SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE